

Ward Boundary Options from Consultant Report: Summarized by Lorne Cutler (June 24, 2020)

Options	# of Wards (Urban*, Suburban, Rural)	Target Population/Ward	# of Wards varying more than 15% of average size (< or >) by 2026 or 2030	Comments
Current	23 (12, 8, 3)	44,000	8 (1 urban, 4 suburban, 3 rural)	# of wards with variations more than 15% is by 2026.
Option1	25 (13, 9, 3)	46,000	6 (1 urban, 3 suburban, 2 rural)	Council expands. Combines Osgoode Ward and rural portion of Cumberland
Option 2	24 (12, 9, 3)	47,900	2 (2 rural)	Council expands.
Option 3	23 (11, 9, 3)	50,000	3 (1 urban, 2 rural)	Keeps Council same size. One urban ward is distributed to the suburbs. Urban ward boundaries change as no two wards are small enough to join together. Redesign of wards starts from west to east
Option 4	23 (11, 9, 3)	50,000	3 (1 urban, 2 rural)	Same as four but redesign of wards starts from east to west
Option 5	17 (9, 6, 2)	67,600	4 (1 urban, 1 suburban, 2 rural)	Kanata and Stittsville go from 3 wards to 2. Orleans goes to 2 wards, including suburban portion of Cumberland. Rural wards split by Highway 416.

* Urban means "inside the Greenbelt".

The consultant proposed a series of measurements to be used to rank each option. The following items would be measured:

1. Natural/Physical Boundaries
2. Geographic Communities of Interest
3. Minority Interests
4. Ward History
5. Capacity to Represent
6. Geographic Size & Shape of the Ward Population Growth